

Group 1 Issues Discussed

- 1. How to foster cross-organizational collaboration for SE
 - Barriers
 - Funding to implement
 - Process to accomplish
- Compare and contrast government and contractor relationships for SE
 - What should the government do?
 - How should the contractor respond?
 - Merging the products, e.g., SEP/SEMP
- 3. What are the issues associated with implementing SE and Test Collaborative Environments?
 - Role of needed standards?
 - Intellectual property issues between the government and contractor and among competing contractors
 - By whom and how are resources provided?
 - Data standards needed to share information
 - Multi-level security



Group 2 Issues Discussed

- 1. JCS/DoD SE Roles and Responsibilities
 - How do we trace joint capabilities into the system level and SOS acquisition programs?
 - How do capability roadmaps translate to derived acquisition requirements?
 - What are the needed organizational changes to the JCIDS/acquisition process, i.e., capability managers?
- 2. PM Office human resources are declining, which hinders the ability to formulate constraints for, and to monitor, a sound SE technical process
 - Human resources/staffing levels
 - Abilities/skills
 - Revitalize workforce numbers
 - Recruiting
- 3. How do we ensure that PM and SE certifications adequately address SE?
 - PM certification
 - SPRDE certification
 - Additional certifications, e.g., architecture
 - Dual/Multiple certifications
 - Continuous Learning modules



Group 3 Issues Discussed

1. Requirements

- How to stabilize?
- How to translate user needs into program requirements?
- Mechanics of requirements IPT
- Tools for tracking requirements evolution
- 2. SOS/FOS The Department does not have an SE process to deal with SOS/FOS (Enterprise Engineering)
 - Different elements (programs) managed by different PMs and Services
 - Different elements (programs) at different lifecycle stages
 - How to ensure conformance at the individual program level
 - How do individual programs influence SOS?
- 3. Dealing with GIG/Net-Centric
 - Process to deal with SE for the unknown specs of remaining KIPs, NCES, and other unknown and undefined requirements
 - How to define system boundary with rising expectations regarding interoperability
 - How to verify/validate Net-Centric
 - Can we engineer Net-Centric operations before 'net-centricity' is defined?



Group 4 Issues Discussed

- 1. SE Post-Milestone C
 - Sustainment community
 - Recovery of systems from AMARC
 - Extended beyond planned life
- 2. Logistics and HSI in the SE process (relationship between PBL and SE)
- 3. Lack of SE Metrics to Assess Effectiveness of SE Process
 - What are examples of successful SE metrics?
 - Leading vs. lagging?
 - By program phase?
 - Who should define these?
 - How do we execute event-based policy in schedule-driven realities?
 - How does this become the standard way of doing business?
 - What needs to change to allow this to happen?
 - Who should do this?



Group 5 Issues Discussed

- 1. How do we convey the value of SE to stakeholders outside the SE community?
 - What is the message?
 - Who should sent it?
 - Where does it need to go?
- 2. Contracting for SE
 - How do we define SE requirements in contracts?
 - How do we discriminate among offerors' SE capabilities/proposed processes?
 - Should we incentivize SE? How?
- 3.SE Specs/Stds
 - Should DoD bring back SE-related specs/stds?
 - If so, which ones?
 - Who should do it?



Group 6 Issues Discussed

- 1. How best to engage warfighters in the SE process across the lifecycle
- 2. Is the guidance on SEP content sufficient?
 - ACAT II and III vs. I
 - Due to complexity
 - Level of Detail (How much history/future? SOS? Enterprise?)
 - Living document (How show this?)
 - Tailoring for business systems
 - Expectations at each phase
 - Template?
 - More/better guidance?
 - CMMI inclusion
- 3. Integration with other plans, e.g. acquisition strategy... combine?
 - SEMP
 - TEMP
 - ASR
 - Others